Decision No: CMM132 - 26/05/11

Forward Plan No: N/A

This record relates to Agenda Item 12 on the agenda for the

Decision-Making

RECORD OF CABINET MEMBER DECISION

DECISION-MAKER: COUNCILLOR AMY KENNEDY

PORTFOLIO AREA: PLANNING, EMPLOYMENT, ECONOMY

& REGENERATION

SUBJECT: SHOREHAM HARBOUR: INTERIM

PLANNING GUIDANCE (IPG) UPDATE

AUTHOR: MIKE HOLFORD

THE DECISION

(1) That the Cabinet Member agrees to consultation on the Shoreham Harbour: Interim Planning Guidance update, subject to any minor grammatical and non-material text and illustrative alterations agreed by the Strategic Director Place in consultation with the relevant Cabinet Member, and agreed by Adur District Council and West Sussex County Council.

REASON FOR THE DECISION

To provide informal planning guidance in the short-term for the wider Shoreham Harbour area pending the preparation of Core Strategies.

DETAILS OF ANY ALTERNATIVE OPTIONS

The alternative was not to produce Interim Planning Guidance in which case the potential for development to prejudice the long-term aims for the area would remain. Another option would be to produce a formal Supplementary Planning Document. This option has been discounted due to the time and costs involved in preparing an SPD.

OTHER RELEVANT MATTERS CONCERNING THE DECISION None

CONFLICTS OF INTEREST

None.

CONFIRMED AS A TRUE RECORD:

We certify that the decision this document records was made in accordance with the Local Authorities (Executive Arrangements) (Access to Information) (England) Regulations 2000 and is a true and accurate record of that decision

Date: Decision Maker:

26 May 2011 Councillor Amy Kennedy

Cabinet Member for Planning,

Employment, Economy & Regeneration

Signed:

Proper Officer:

26 May 2011 Mark Wall, Head of Democratic Services

Signed:

SCRUTINY

Note: This decision will come in to force at the expiry of 5 working days from the date of publication subject to any review under the Council's Scrutiny 'Call-In' provisions.

Call-In Period

27 May-3 June 2011

Date of Call-in (if applicable) (this suspends implementation)

Call-in Procedure completed (if applicable)

Call-in heard by (if applicable)

Decision No: CMM133 - 26/05/11

Forward Plan No: N/A

This record relates to Agenda Item 13 on the agenda for the

Decision-Making

RECORD OF CABINET MEMBER DECISION

DECISION-MAKER: COUNCILLOR AMY KENNEDY

PORTFOLIO AREA: PLANNING, EMPLOYMENT, ECONOMY

& REGENERATION

SUBJECT: ROTTINGDEAN CHARACTER

STATEMENT

AUTHOR: SANNE ROBERTS

THE DECISION

- (1) That the Rottingdean Conservation Area Character Statement be adopted, subject to any minor grammatical and non-material alterations agreed with the relevant Cabinet Member.
- (2) That an Article 4(1) Direction be made for dwellings in the area under the Town and Country Planning (General Permitted Development) Order 1995, as recommended by the Rottingdean Conservation Area Appraisal and detailed in annex 3.
- (3) That the proposed boundary changes, as set out in the Character Statement and illustrated in annex 4, be approved and formally designated under section 69 of the Planning (Listed Buildings and Conservation Areas) Act 1990.

REASON FOR THE DECISION

Rottingdean Conservation Area does not have an up-to-date, in depth character appraisal. A review of the Conservation Area would accord with the Council's adopted Conservation Strategy (2003), and with national and Government guidance (English Heritage Guidance 2006, Planning Policy Statement 5: Planning for the Historic Environment).

The responses to public consultation are broadly supportive. The recommendation to adopt the Statement and implement its proposals has taken account of the representations received during public consultation and the changes made to the document are a result of those comments. The making of an Article 4(1) Direction will help preserve the character and appearance of the dwellings in the village through controlling incremental change.

DETAILS OF ANY ALTERNATIVE OPTIONS

None considered.

OTHER RELEVANT MATTERS CONCERNING THE DECISION

None

CONFLICTS OF INTEREST

None.

CONFIRMED AS A TRUE RECORD:

We certify that the decision this document records was made in accordance with the Local Authorities (Executive Arrangements) (Access to Information) (England) Regulations 2000 and is a true and accurate record of that decision

Date: Decision Maker:

26 May 2011 Councillor Amy Kennedy

Cabinet Member for Planning,

Employment, Economy & Regeneration

Signed:

Proper Officer:

26 May 2011 Mark Wall, Head of Democratic Services

Signed:

SCRUTINY

Note: This decision will come in to force at the expiry of 5 working days from the date of publication subject to any review under the Council's Scrutiny 'Call-In' provisions.

Call-In Period

27 May-3 June 2011

Date of Call-in (if applicable) (this suspends implementation)

Call-in Procedure completed (if applicable)

Call-in heard by (if applicable)

Decision No: CMM134 - 26/05/11

Forward Plan No:

This record relates to Agenda Item 14 on the agenda for the

Decision-Making

RECORD OF CABINET MEMBER DECISION

DECISION-MAKER: COUNCILLOR AMY KENNEDY

PORTFOLIO AREA: PLANNING, EMPLOYMENT, ECONOMY

& REGENERATION

SUBJECT: LOCAL DEVELOPMENT FRAMEWORK

CORE STRATEGY - UPDATED BACKGROUND STUDIES

AUTHOR: LIZ HOBDEN

THE DECISION

(1) That the Cabinet Member approves the final reports of the two background studies as supporting evidence for the Core Strategy and other Local Development Framework documents.

REASON FOR THE DECISION

To gain formal approval of the two background studies that form part of the evidence base for the Core Strategy and other Development Plan Documents and council strategies. It also allows the final documents to go into the public domain to inform planning decisions.

DETAILS OF ANY ALTERNATIVE OPTIONS

None required as the studies are concerned with the identification of matters of fact. The Studies are required by Government planning guidance requiring plans to be supported by a sound evidence base. Indeed if the final background studies are not approved the interim versions will remain in the public domain. The latest information may not therefore be taken into consideration in planning matters. Without formal approval of the background studies the evidence base to the Core Strategy may be considered unsound.

OTHER RELEVANT MATTERS CONCERNING THE DECISION

None

CONFLICTS OF INTEREST

None.

CONFIRMED AS A TRUE RECORD:

We certify that the decision this document records was made in accordance with the Local Authorities (Executive Arrangements) (Access to Information) (England) Regulations 2000 and is a true and accurate record of that decision

Date: Decision Maker:

26 May 2011 Councillor Amy Kennedy

Cabinet Member for Planning,

Employment, Economy & Regeneration

Signed:

Proper Officer:

26 May 2011 Mark Wall, Head of Democratic Services

Signed:

SCRUTINY

Note: This decision will come in to force at the expiry of 5 working days from the date of publication subject to any review under the Council's Scrutiny 'Call-In' provisions.

Call-In Period

27 May-3 June 2011

Date of Call-in (if applicable) (this suspends implementation)

Call-in Procedure completed (if applicable)

Call-in heard by (if applicable)

Decision No: CMM135 - 26/05/11

Forward Plan No: N/A

This record relates to Agenda Item 15 on the agenda for the

Decision-Making

RECORD OF CABINET MEMBER DECISION

DECISION-MAKER: COUNCILLOR IAN DAVEY

PORTFOLIO AREA: TRANSPORT & PUBLIC REALM

SUBJECT: CEDARS GARDENS HIGHWAY

IMPROVEMENT SCHEME

AUTHOR: ROBIN REED

THE DECISION

- (1) That the Cabinet Member (having taken into account of all the duly made representations and objections):
 - (a) Approves the BRIGHTON & HOVE (CEDARS GARDENS) (ONE WAY TRAFFIC) ORDER 20** and the BRIGHTON & HOVE (WAITING & LOADING/UNLOADING RESTRICTIONS AND PARKING PLACES) CONSOLIDATION ORDER 2008 AMENDMENT ORDER No. * 20** (CEDARS GARDENS)
 - (b) Grants permission for officers to proceed with the highways improvements to Cedars Gardens, as laid out in Appendix 1.

REASON FOR THE DECISION

Cedars Lodge was sold by the City Council in 2010 as it was deemed surplus to requirements. A sum of £20,000 was retained from the sale receipt to implement minor highway improvements to Cedars Gardens, adjacent to the property. Following an objection to the Traffic Regulation Order, this report seeks permission to seal the Order and implement the highways improvements.

DETAILS OF ANY ALTERNATIVE OPTIONS

No alternative options have been considered.

OTHER RELEVANT MATTERS CONCERNING THE DECISION

None

CONFLICTS OF INTEREST

None.

CONFIRMED AS A TRUE RECORD:

We certify that the decision this document records was made in accordance with the Local Authorities (Executive Arrangements) (Access to Information) (England) Regulations 2000 and is a true and accurate record of that decision

Date: Decision Maker:

26 May 2011 Councillor Ian Davey

Cabinet Member for Transport & Public

Realm Signed:

Proper Officer:

26 May 2011 Mark Wall, Head of Democratic Services

Signed:

SCRUTINY

Note: This decision will come in to force at the expiry of 5 working days from the date of publication subject to any review under the Council's Scrutiny 'Call-In' provisions.

Call-In Period

27 May-3 June 2011

Date of Call-in (if applicable) (this suspends implementation)

Call-in Procedure completed (if applicable)

Call-in heard by (if applicable)

Decision No: CMM136 - 26/05/11

Forward Plan No: N/A

This record relates to Agenda Item 16 on the agenda for the

Decision-Making

RECORD OF CABINET MEMBER DECISION

DECISION-MAKER: COUNCILLOR IAN DAVEY

PORTFOLIO AREA: TRANSPORT & PUBLIC REALM

SUBJECT: SUPPORTED BUS SERVICES: AREA

NETWORK REVIEW CONSULTATION

AUTHOR: PAUL CROWTHER

THE DECISION

(1) That the Cabinet Member authorises a full consultation exercise to inform the Area Network Review.

- (2) That a report be brought to a future Cabinet Member Meeting, once the results of the Area Network Review consultation have been collated, tenders from prospective contractors have been analysed, and recommendations for the future Supported Local Bus Network have been formulated.
- (3) That the Cabinet Member approves the enhanced vehicle emission standards specified.

REASON FOR THE DECISION

The recommendations for which consideration and approval are sought are to commence the consultation stage of the Area Network Review.

DETAILS OF ANY ALTERNATIVE OPTIONS

Legislation now permits Tendered Bus Contracts to run for a maximum of eight years. The existing contracts could be extended for this period. However, it is felt that this option would not allow a full evaluation of current travel needs, bearing in mind changes to the commercial network.

OTHER RELEVANT MATTERS CONCERNING THE DECISION

The Lawyer to the meeting clarified that a report would come back to the Cabinet Member for Transport & Public Realm after the consultation had ended and that recommendation 2.2 be amended to reflect this.

CONFLICTS OF INTEREST

None.

CONFIRMED AS A TRUE RECORD:

We certify that the decision this document records was made in accordance with the Local Authorities (Executive Arrangements) (Access to Information) (England) Regulations 2000 and is a true and accurate record of that decision

Date: Decision Maker:

26 May 2011 Councillor Ian Davey

Cabinet Member for Transport & Public

Realm Signed:

Proper Officer:

26 May 2011 Mark Wall, Head of Democratic Services

Signed:

SCRUTINY

Note: This decision will come in to force at the expiry of 5 working days from the date of publication subject to any review under the Council's Scrutiny 'Call-In' provisions.

Call-In Period

27 May-3 June 2011

Date of Call-in (if applicable) (this suspends implementation)

Call-in Procedure completed (if applicable)

Call-in heard by (if applicable)

Decision No: CMM137 - 26/05/11

Forward Plan No: N/A

This record relates to Agenda Item 17 on the agenda for the

Decision-Making

RECORD OF CABINET MEMBER DECISION

DECISION-MAKER: COUNCILLOR IAN DAVEY

PORTFOLIO AREA: TRANSPORT & PUBLIC REALM

SUBJECT: PEDESTRIAN CROSSING

ASSESSMENT METHODOLOGY

AUTHOR: ROBIN REED

THE DECISION

(1) That the Cabinet Member approves the revised pedestrian crossing assessment methodology and grants permission for officers to carry out the assessment on all sites on the pedestrian crossing request list in the financial year 2011/12. Subject to funding, sites identified as a priority would be implemented towards the end of financial year 2011/12.

REASON FOR THE DECISION

The City Council receives many requests for pedestrian crossing facilities each year. The methodology presented in this report will ensure a fair and robust assessment is undertaken on each request and will lead to the establishment of a priority list for implementation subject to the availability of funding.

DETAILS OF ANY ALTERNATIVE OPTIONS

There are many ways in which the Council could prioritise requests for pedestrian crossings. However, following a review of the various options available, the method presented in this report is considered the most fit for purpose.

OTHER RELEVANT MATTERS CONCERNING THE DECISION None

CONFLICTS OF INTEREST

None.

CONFIRMED AS A TRUE RECORD:

We certify that the decision this document records was made in accordance with the Local Authorities (Executive Arrangements) (Access to Information) (England) Regulations 2000 and is a true and accurate record of that decision

Date: Decision Maker:

26 May 2011 Councillor Ian Davey

Cabinet Member for Transport & Public

Realm Signed:

Proper Officer:

26 May 2011 Mark Wall, Head of Democratic Services

Signed:

SCRUTINY

Note: This decision will come in to force at the expiry of 5 working days from the date of publication subject to any review under the Council's Scrutiny 'Call-In' provisions.

Call-In Period

27 May-3 June 2011

Date of Call-in (if applicable) (this suspends implementation)

Call-in Procedure completed (if applicable)

Call-in heard by (if applicable)